IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

**GUSTAVO ESTRELLA POOT,** 

Petitioner.

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:16-CV-169 (GROH)

WARDEN COAXLEY

Respondent.

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. Pursuant to the Local Rules, this civil action was referred to Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Trumble issued an R&R [ECF No. 11] on October 26, 2017. In the R&R, Judge Trumble recommends that the Petitioner's petition be dismissed without prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and of a Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within fourteen plus three

days of the Petitioner being served with a copy of the same. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed.

R. Civ. P. 72(b). Service was accepted by the pro se Petitioner on October 31, 2017.

ECF No. 12. Therefore, after allowing additional time for transit in the mail, the Court

finds that the deadline for the Petitioner to submit objections to the R&R has passed. No

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the R&R, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge

Trumble's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 11] should be, and is hereby,

**ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated therein.

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket. The Clerk

of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the Petitioner by certified mail, return

receipt requested, at her last known address as reflected on the docket sheet.

DATED: December 22, 2017

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2